

Mass eigenstates and mass eigenvalues of seesaw*

Takuya Morozumi *

*Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University,
Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan, 739-8526*

Abstract

The light neutrino mass spectrum and mixing matrix of seesaw model including three right-handed neutrinos are studied for the most general case. An approximate formulae for mass eigenvalues, mixing matrix, and CP violation of neutrino oscillations are given.

arXiv:hep-ph/0512373v1 30 Dec 2005

* morozumi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp. The paper is prepared as a contribution to the Symposium in Honour of Professor Gustavo C. Branco, CP Violation and Flavour Puzzle.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is my pleasure to write a small contribution for Professor Gustavo fest. I visited him at Lisbon when I was a postdoctoral fellow of Rockefeller University. Since then, I learned lots from Gustavo and his colleagues about SU(2) singlet quark model [1], and the diagonalization of mass matrix etc. So it is appropriate for me to write on the neutrino masses for seesaw model in which SU(2) singlet heavy neutral leptons are introduced.

II. MASS EIGENVALUE EQUATION FOR LIGHT NEUTRINOS

In seesaw [2, 3, 4, 5], the effective mass term for light neutrinos is given by the famous formulae, $m_{eff} = -m_D \frac{1}{M} m_D^T$. What I want to talk is about mass eigenvalues of m_{eff} . It is more convenient to work for Hermite matrix, $H = m_{eff} m_{eff}^\dagger$. By denoting λ as mass eigenvalues squared, the eigenvalue equation becomes,

$$\det(H - \lambda) = 0. \quad (1)$$

The equation is a cubic equation which is given by,

$$\lambda^3 - \lambda^2 a + \lambda b - c = 0, \quad (2)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \text{Tr}H = H_{11} + H_{22} + H_{33}, \\ b &= H_{11}(H_{22}H_{33} - |H_{23}|^2) + H_{22}(H_{33}H_{11} - |H_{13}|^2) + H_{33}(H_{11}H_{22} - |H_{12}|^2), \\ c &= \det H. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The coefficients are related to mass squared eigenvalues n_1^2, n_2^2, n_3^2 as,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_3^2 + n_2^2 + n_1^2 \equiv 3\bar{n}^2 \\ b &= n_3^2 n_2^2 + n_1^2 n_2^2 + n_2^2 n_3^2 \\ &= 3\bar{n}^4 + (n_1^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_2^2 - \bar{n}^2) + (n_2^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_3^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_3^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_1^2 - \bar{n}^2), \\ c &= n_3^2 n_2^2 n_1^2 = \bar{n}^6 + (n_1^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_2^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_3^2 - \bar{n}^2) + \bar{n}^2[(n_2^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_3^2 - \bar{n}^2) \\ &\quad + (n_3^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_1^2 - \bar{n}^2) + (n_1^2 - \bar{n}^2)(n_2^2 - \bar{n}^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is interesting to see except $\bar{n}^2$, $n_i^2 - \bar{n}^2$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) can be written in terms of the mass squared differences which are measured in oscillation experiments. Now let us write each

coefficient a, b and c in terms of the element of m_D and M explicitly. Without loss of generality, we can take m_D is a general 3×3 complex matrix and M is a 3×3 real diagonal matrix. We introduce a decomposition. [6]

$$m_D = (\mathbf{m}_{D1}, \mathbf{m}_{D2}, \mathbf{m}_{D3}) = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \begin{pmatrix} m_{D1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{D2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{D3} \end{pmatrix} \\ = U \times \text{diagonal}(m_{D1}, m_{D2}, m_{D3}). \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{\mathbf{m}_{Di}}{m_{Di}} = u_i$ and $|u_i| = 1$ with $m_{Di} = |\mathbf{m}_{Di}|$. $u_i (i = 1 \sim 3)$ is a complex vector in C^3 . $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is not unitary in general.

$$A \equiv U^\dagger U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1^\dagger \cdot u_2 & u_1^\dagger \cdot u_3 \\ * & 1 & u_2^\dagger \cdot u_3 \\ * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where A is an Hermite matrix. One can write,

$$m_{eff} = -UXU^T, \\ H = m_{eff} m_{eff}^\dagger = UXA^* XU^\dagger, \quad (7)$$

where X is a real diagonal matrix with mass dimension,

$$X = \text{Diagonal}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \text{ with } X_i \equiv \frac{m_{Di}^2}{M_i}. \quad (8)$$

Using the definitions, one may write the coefficients of cubic equation as,

$$a = \text{Tr}(AXA^*X) = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 \\ + 2\text{Re}(A_{12}^2)X_1X_2 + 2\text{Re}(A_{23}^2)X_2X_3 + 2\text{Re}(A_{31}^2)X_3X_1, \\ b = X_1^2X_2^2(1 - |A_{12}|^2)^2 + X_2^2X_3^2(1 - |A_{23}|^2)^2 + X_3^2X_1^2(1 - |A_{31}|^2)^2 \\ + 2X_1X_2X_3^2\text{Re}((A_{12} - A_{13}A_{32})^2) + 2X_2X_3X_1^2\text{Re}((A_{23} - A_{21}A_{13})^2) \\ + 2X_3X_1X_2^2\text{Re}((A_{31} - A_{32}A_{21})^2), \\ c = (\det A)^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 \\ = (1 + 2\text{Re}(A_{12}A_{23}A_{31}) - |A_{12}|^2 - |A_{23}|^2 - |A_{31}|^2)^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2. \quad (9)$$

In this paper, we discuss the case with $X_1 \ll X_2 \ll X_3$ and $|A_{23}| < 1$. If we neglect X_1 , the eigenvalue equation becomes quadratic as,

$$\lambda^2 - (X_2^2 + X_3^2 + 2\text{Re}(A_{23}^2)X_2X_3)\lambda + X_2^2X_3^2(1 - |A_{23}|^2)^2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the heaviest mass squared n_3^2 at leading order is given as,

$$n_3^2 = X_3^2. \quad (11)$$

Then, the smaller eigenvalue is given as,

$$n_2^2 = X_2^2(1 - |A_{23}|^2)^2. \quad (12)$$

Finally the mass squared of the lightest state is,

$$n_1^2 = X_1^2 \frac{(\det A)^2}{(1 - |A_{23}|^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

III. MIXING MATRIX

Now let us turn to the mixing matrix which reproduces the approximate eigenvalues given in previous sections. One may first write the m_{eff} as

$$m_{eff} = -X_3(u_1, u_2, u_3) \text{Diag.}(X_1/X_3, X_2/X_3, 1)(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T. \quad (14)$$

By taking the limit $X_1/X_3 \rightarrow 0$, m_{eff} becomes,

$$m_{eff} = -X_3(u_2 \frac{X_2}{X_3} u_2^T + u_3 u_3^T). \quad (15)$$

m_{eff} has a zero eigenvalue and the corresponding state can be isolated by using a unitary rotation given as,

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= (v_1, v_2, v_3), \\ v_1^\dagger \cdot u_2 &= v_1^\dagger \cdot u_3 = 0, \\ v_2^\dagger \cdot u_3 &= 0, \\ v_3 &\equiv u_3. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

One may write,

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \frac{u_2 - u_3 A_{32}}{\sqrt{1 - |A_{32}|^2}}, \\ v_1 &= \frac{u_2^* \times u_3^*}{\sqrt{1 - |A_{32}|^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using the definition, one may show,

$$\lim_{X_1 \rightarrow 0} V_0^\dagger m_{eff} V_0^* = -X_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{X_2}{X_3}(1 - |A_{23}|^2) & \frac{X_2}{X_3}A_{32}\sqrt{1 - |A_{23}|^2} \\ 0 & \frac{X_2}{X_3}A_{32}\sqrt{1 - |A_{23}|^2} & A_{32}^2 \frac{X_2}{X_3} + 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

The final step of the diagonalization can be achieved by diagonalizing two by two sector. We write MNS (Maki, Nakagawa and Sakata) [7] matrix V as, $V = V_0 K$ and K is given as,

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_N & \sin \theta_N \exp(-i\phi_N) \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_N \exp(i\phi_N) & \cos \theta_N \end{pmatrix} P, \quad (19)$$

where P is a diagonal phase matrix which explicit form is given in Eq.(38) of [6]. $\tan 2\theta_N$ is also obtained by simply replacing $X_1 \rightarrow X_3$ and $u_1 \rightarrow u_3$ in Eq.(38) of [6]. Explicitly, it is given as,

$$\tan 2\theta_N = \frac{2X_2\sqrt{1 - |A_{23}|^2}X_3A_{23} + X_2A_{32}}{X_3^2 + X_2^2(2|A_{23}|^2 - 1) + 2X_2X_3\text{Re.}(A_{23})^2}. \quad (20)$$

When $X_2 < X_3$,

$$\theta_N \simeq \frac{X_2}{X_3}\sqrt{1 - |A_{23}|^2}|A_{23}| \ll 1. \quad (21)$$

Therefore in the leading order of X_2/X_3 expansion, $V = V_0$.

IV. CP VIOLATION OF NEUTRINO OSCILLATION

It would be interesting to see how CP violation of neutrino oscillation looks like. One may compute CP violation of neutrino oscillation [8] at the leading power of the term of $X_1^n X_2^m X_3^{6-m-n}$. [6] One find the term which is proportional to $X_2^2 X_3^4$ is the leading and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \text{Im} \left((m_{eff} m_{eff}^\dagger)_{e\mu} (m_{eff} m_{eff}^\dagger)_{\mu\tau} (m_{eff} m_{eff}^\dagger)_{\tau e} \right) \\ &= (1 - |A_{23}|^2)(X_2^2 X_3^4) (\text{Im}[(u_{e3}^* u_{e2} u_{\mu 3} u_{\mu 2}^*)|u_{\tau 3}|^2 + \\ &\quad \text{Im}[(u_{\mu 3}^* u_{\mu 2} u_{\tau 3} u_{\tau 2}^*)|u_{e3}|^2 + \text{Im}[(u_{\tau 3}^* u_{\tau 2} u_{e3} u_{e2}^*)|u_{\mu 3}|^2]). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

As a check of the result, we may compute the Jarlskog invariant and mass squared difference separately and obtain Δ .

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= J(n_1^2 - n_2^2)(n_2^2 - n_3^2)(n_3^2 - n_1^2), \\ (n_1^2 - n_2^2)(n_2^2 - n_3^2)(n_3^2 - n_1^2) &\simeq n_2^2 n_3^4 \simeq X_3^4 X_2^2 (1 - |A_{23}|^2)^2, \\ J &= -\text{Im} V_{e3} V_{\mu 3}^* V_{e2}^* V_{\mu 2} \simeq -\text{Im} \left(u_{e3} u_{\mu 3}^* \frac{(u_{e2}^* - u_{e3}^* A_{23})(u_{\mu 2} - u_{\mu 3} A_{32})}{1 - |A_{23}|^2} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

which agrees with Δ computed previously.

V. SUMMARY

We have studied eigenvalues and mixing matrix of seesaw model with three right-handed neutrinos. The most general eigenvalue equation which determines the light neutrino mass spectrum is given. The equation is solved by assuming the hierarchy of the three parameters $X_i (i = 1 \sim 3)$ as $X_1 < X_2 < X_3$. Under the assumption, the analysis of the model with two right-handed neutrino [6] is useful. The leading order expression of mass spectrum and MNS matrix is obtained in terms of X_i and normalized yukawa vectors u_i . We compute the leading term of CP violation of neutrino oscillations which is a product of the Jarlskog invariant and mass differences. All the leading contribution can be extracted in analytical form which may be useful for further study on CP violation at low energy and leptogenesis. [9] [6, 8, 10, 11, 12]

A. Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. M. N. Rebelo and organizers of Gustavofest for giving me a chance for writing contribution. He thanks T. Fujihara, S. K. Kang, C.S. Kim and D. Kimura for discussion. The work is supported by the kakenhi, Japan, No.16028213.

-
- [1] G.C. Branco, T. Morozumi, P. A. Parada, and M. N. Rebelo, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 1167 (1993).
 - [2] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **B67**, 421 (1977).

- [3] T. Yanagida, in the proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theories and Baryon Number in the Universe, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto, 95 (1979).
- [4] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in Supergravity, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D.Z. Freedman (eds.), North Holland Publ. Co.,(1979).
- [5] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
- [6] T. Fujihara, S. Kaneko, S. Kang, D. Kimura, T. Morozumi and M. Tanimoto Phys. Rev. **D72**, 016006 (2005).
- [7] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 1174 (1962).
- [8] G. C. Branco, T. Morozumi, B. Nobre, and M. N. Rebelo Nucl. Phys. **B617**, 475 (2001).
- [9] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B174**, 45 (1986).
- [10] P.H. Frampton, S.L. Glashow, and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B548**, 119 (2002).
- [11] T. Endoh, S. Kaneko, S. K. Kang, T. Morozumi and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 231601 (2002).
- [12] T. Endoh, T. Morozumi, A. Purwanto and T. Onogi, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 013006 (2001), Erratum-ibid.D64:059904,2001.